CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT (RUBRIC - TASK 2)

Description of Context	6 marks Description provides depth and clarity in relation to 3 aspects of the school environment.	4 – 5 marks Description provides depth and clarity regarding two (2) aspects of the school environment. OR Three (3) aspects are described but either more depth or clarity is needed.	2 – 3 marks Description has limited depth and clarity in relation to aspects of the school environment. OR Depth and clarity are provided regarding one (1) aspect of the school environment.	O – 1 mark Description is general and lacks depth and clarity. OR The description lacks focus and relevance.
Description of Behaviours	7 – 8 marks Four behaviours are fully described. Clarity and depth are provided regarding how each behaviour is displayed, who displays the behaviour and when the behavior is displayed.	5 – 6 marks Four behaviours are described. Clarity and depth are satisfactorily in relation to how each behavior is displayed.	3 – 4 marks 2 – 3 behaviours are described fully. OR 4 behaviours are described with elements of depth and clarity in relation to how each behaviour is displayed.	1 – 2 marks Description of the behaviours lack depth and clarity. OR One behaviour is described fully.
Impact on Classroom Management	7 – 8 marks Explanation of how each behavior impacts at least one element of classroom management. Explanation has depth and clarity.	5 – 6 marks Explanation how each behavior impacts at least one element of classroom management. Explanation has satisfactory depth and clarity.	3 – 4 marks Explanation of how each behavior impacts classroom management generally has depth and clarity.	1 – 2 marks Explanation of how each behavior impacts elements of classroom management has elements of depth and clarity OR Explanation lacks depth and clarity.
Relationships to Context	7 – 8 marks Explanation captures how each behavior relates to the context described and at least 4 relevant examples are used to provide depth and clarity.	5 – 6 marks Explanation relates to the context described and at least 3 relevant examples are used.	3 – 4 marks Explanation relates to the context described but only 2 – 3 behaviours are addressed using 2 to 3 relevant examples.	1 - 2 marks Explanation has limited relation to the context described. OR Explanation relates to the context described but only 1 behaviour is addressed.

Effectiveness of Strategy 1	4 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths and 2 weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and the school context.	3 marks Evaluation examines 3 strengths or weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and the school context.	2 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths or weaknesses but no reference is made to the behavior and the school context.	1 mark The strategy is described but no strengths or weaknesses are examined. OR The strategy is evaluated but the evaluation does not refer to the behavior or school context.
Effectiveness of Strategy 2	4 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths and 2 weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and the school context.	3 marks Evaluation examines 3 strengths or weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and the school context.	2 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths or weaknesses but no reference is made to the behavior and the school context.	1 mark The strategy is described but no strengths or weaknesses are examined. OR The strategy is evaluated but the evaluation does not refer to the behavior or school context.
Effectiveness of Strategy 3	4 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths and 2 weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and school context.	3 marks Evaluation examines 3 strengths or weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and school context.	2 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths or weaknesses but no reference is made to the behavior and school context.	1 mark The strategy is described but no strengths or weaknesses are examined. OR The strategy is evaluated but the evaluation does not refer to the behavior or school context.
Effectiveness of Strategy 4	4 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths and 2 weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and school context.	3 marks Evaluation examines 3 strengths or weaknesses of the strategy in light of the behavior and school context.	2 marks Evaluation examines 2 strengths or weaknesses but no reference is made to the behavior and school context.	1 mark The strategy is described but no strengths or weaknesses are examined. OR The strategy is evaluated but the evaluation does not refer to the behavior or school context.

Application of Theory 1	6 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects 3 criteria: a) contextual relevance b) feasibility c) understanding of the theory	4 – 5 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects two of three criteria.	2 – 3 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects one criterion.	0 – 1 mark Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy does not reflect any criterion.
Application of Theory 2	6 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects 3 criteria: a) contextual relevance b) feasibility c) understanding of the theory	4 – 5 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects two of three criteria.	2 – 3 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects one criterion.	0 – 1 mark Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy does not reflect any criterion.
Application of Theory 3	6 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects 3 criteria: a) contextual relevance b) feasibility c) understanding of the theory	4 – 5 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects two of three criteria.	2 – 3 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects one criterion.	0 - 1 mark Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy does not reflect any criterion.
Application of theory 4	6 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects 3 criteria: a) contextual relevance	4 – 5 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects two of three criteria.	2 – 3 marks Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy reflects one criterion.	0 – 1 mark Explanation of how the theory could be used to improve the effectiveness of the strategy does not reflect any criterion.

	b) feasibility c) understanding of the theory			
Language & Organization	8 marks Essay has no language errors. Language errors are negligible and do not detract from the overall quality of the work. Work is well organized.	7-5 marks Essay has no more than 5 language errors. There is evidence of proper organization.	4-2 marks Essay has 6 – 9 language errors. Work is satisfactorily organized.	1 mark Essay has at least 10 language errors. Work needs better organization of ideas.
Evidence of Research and References	2 marks Extensive evidence of research with accurate intext citations and reference page(s) adhering to the APA 6th edition.	2 marks Satisfactory evidence of research with accurate intext citations and reference page(s) adhering to the APA 6th edition.	1 mark Limited evidence of research. In-text citations and reference page(s) are provided but do not fully conform to the APA 6th edition.	O mark No evidence of research OR There is evidence of research but sources are not credited.