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In GROUPS OF THREE, select a topic from your area of specialization, for which you will prepare, administer and analyze a test.

1. Develop a Table of Specification (T.O.S.) for a summative test which is to be used to determine ten (10) students' understanding of concepts in the unit.
2. Use the T.O.S. to design a comprehensive test, which should include at least TWO (2) appropriate 'selected response' and TWO 'constructed response' item. Ensure that all test papers and the associated mark scheme are submitted. (8 marks)
3. After administering the test to ten (10) students.
a) Calculate and interpret the facility indices and the discrimination indices for a sample of TWO (2) selected response items.
b) Calculate the mean score and the standard deviation for the test then interpret students' performance. (4 marks)
4. Design a simple report card to convey the following for THREE students: accomplishments, challenges (if observed), recommendations for improvements and a section for students' responses (allow the three students to respond) (4 marks)
5. Prepare a DETAILED THREE page reflection on the preparation, administration (include details on seating and classroom arrangement, climate during administration and how the exam papers were issued and collected) and analysis of the test.

## RUBRIC

| TABLE OF SPECIFICATION (12 MARKS) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table of Specification (12 marks) | 10-12 marks <br> The table is correctly designed and accurate. The objectives are specific and accurately classified. Content is weighted in a balance manner. | 7-9 marks <br> The table is correctly designed but there are few areas that need greater improvement. | 4-6 marks <br> The table has some inaccuracies in its design. More than half of the areas need greater development. | 1-3 marks <br> The table is incorrectly designed and has inaccuracies in terms of specificity and classification of objectives and weighting. |
| TEST (8 MARKS) |  |  |  |  |
| Item Construction (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> The rules of writing test items were observed in ALL the items included on the test. | 3 marks <br> The rules of writing test items were generally observed but few items needed improvement. | 2 marks <br> Half of the items included on the test did not follow the rules of test item writing. | 1 mark <br> The items of the test did not follow the rules of writing test items. |
| Validity (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> The test has face, content and construct validity. | 3 marks <br> One area needs greater development OR minimal development needed in all areas | 2 marks <br> TWO areas need greater development | 1 mark THREE areas need greater development |
| ITEM ANALYSIS (8 MARKS) |  |  |  |  |
| Difficulty/ Facility Index (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> All calculations are correct. Accurate use of formula and accurate discussion on test items. | 3 marks <br> Minimal errors in the use of formula and/or the discussion on test items. | 2 marks Use of formula with major errors. Limited discussion provided on test items. | 1 mark Incorrect use of formula and no discussion on test items |


| Discrimination Index (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> All calculations are correct. Accurate use of formula and accurate discussion on test items. | 3 marks <br> Minimal errors in the use of formula and/or the discussion on test items. | 2 marks <br> Use of formula with major errors. Limited discussion provided on test items. | 1 mark <br> Incorrect use of formula and no discussion on test items. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Analysis of Students’ Performance (4 marks) Mean (1 mark) S.D. (1 mark) Classification (2 marks)``` | 4 marks <br> Mean, standard deviation and classification of scores are correct | 3 marks <br> There are errors in ONE of the areas | 2 marks <br> There are errors in TWO of the areas | 1 mark There are errors in THREE of the areas |
| Feedback (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> All strengths, weaknesses and recommendations are specific to the unit with the appropriate depth and clarity. | 3 marks <br> Strengths, weaknesses and recommendations are specific to the unit but lack depth and clarity in a few areas. | 2 marks <br> Some strengths, weaknesses and recommendations lack specificity | 1 mark <br> Majority of the strengths, weaknesses and recommendations lack specificity |
| Reflection (4 marks) | 4 marks <br> Clear and in-depth reflection on test preparation, administration, and analysis. | 3 marks <br> Reflection captures most aspects but depth and clarity are needed. | 2 marks <br> Reflection captures some aspects but greater depth and clarity are needed. | 1 mark <br> Reflection minimally captures the different aspects. It lacks depth clarity and supporting evidence. |

